

Ecography

**ECOG-02480**

Cabral, J. S., Valente, L. and Hartig, F. 2016.  
Mechanistic simulation models in macroecology and  
biogeography: state-of-art and prospects. – Ecography  
doi: 10.1111/ecog.02480

**Supplementary material**

## Supplementary material Appendix 1

Table A1. Selected studies employing mechanistic simulation models in macroecology and biogeography. We adopt a broad definition of macroecology and focus on mechanistic simulation models that investigate spatiotemporal patterns of individuals, populations, species, communities, and assemblages. Our review purposefully encompasses a wider diversity of processes, from physiology, demography, dispersal, biotic interactions, to micro- and macro-evolutionary processes, as well as natural and human-induced drivers. Our goal was to broadly identify the range of processes modelled as well as process-combinations that remain unexplored. We therefore did not add publications to the list in Appendix 1 if we identified them as similar in terms of processes and model properties to other publications already included. For each study, we indicate the main ecological and evolutionary processes modelled, the natural and human drivers considered, and key model properties. The rows highlighted in grey at the bottom of the table present the same information for the classic ecological theories mentioned in the main text (see main text for abbreviations). Ecological processes in the table are physiological (Physio), demographic (Demo), spatial-related processes, such as individual's movement and dispersal (Disp), non-trophic (Non-Trophic), and trophic (Trophic) interactions. Evolutionary processes include micro- (Micro) and macroevolutionary (Macro). Natural drivers comprise spatial variability or heterogeneity (SpatVar), temporal variability (TimeVar), disturbances or perturbations (Disturb), geomorphological (Geo), climate change (CC), and direct human impacts (Direct). Note that what we refer to ecological process types have evolutionary aspects as well (see Fig. 2 on the main text). Among the model properties, we classified the model as spatially implicit vs. explicit; stochastic vs. deterministic; neutral vs. niche-based; according to the ecological level of focus; and according to whether the model considers intraspecific variation. Note that, in principle, niche-based models could also be applied in a way that they are effectively

neutral (very wide or equal niches), and that some stochastic models may include deterministic variants. In such cases, we classify the models still in terms of their most complex variant (e.g. stochastic or niche-based). For the ecological level of focus, we considered only the highest level for the model proposed by Harfoot et al. (2014), which focuses on population for eutotrophs and individuals for heterotrophs.

Model	Processes						Drivers				Properties						
	Ecological			Evolutionary			Natural		Human		Spatially	Explicit/ Stochastic/ Deterministic	Neutral / Niche	Focus Ecological Level	Intraspecific Variation		
	Physio	Demo	Disp	Non- Trophic	Micro	Macro	Spat	Time	Disturb	Geo						CC	Direct
Anderson et al. 2009		yes	yes				yes				yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Bell 2001		yes	yes	yes								explicit	stochastic	neutral	individual	none	
Bell 2005		yes	yes	yes			yes					explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Best et al. 2007		yes	yes				yes				yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Binzer et al. 2016	yes	yes			yes							implicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Bocedi et al. 2014		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes			yes	yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes
Boeye et al. 2014		yes	yes	yes			yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Bohn et al. 2011		yes		yes						yes			implicit	stochastic	niche	population	none
Borregaard et al. 2015		yes	yes	yes		yes				yes			implicit	deterministic	niche	community	none
Botta-Dukát and Czúcz 2016	yes	yes	yes	yes			yes						implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Bourne et al. 2014		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes		yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes
Brooker et al. 2007		yes	yes	yes			yes			yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Buckley 2008	yes	yes			yes			yes		yes			implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Buckley et al. 2015		yes						yes		yes			implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Cabral et al. 2011		yes	yes				yes		yes		yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none

Model	Processes										Drivers				Properties			
	Ecological				Evolutionary			Natural		Human		Spatially	Explicit/	Stochastic/	Neutral /	Focus Ecological	Intraspecific	
	Physio	Demo	Disp	Non-Trophic	Micro	Macro	Spat	Time	Disturb	Geo	CC							Direct
Cabral and Kreft 2012	yes	yes	yes	yes			yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Cabral and Schurr 2010		yes	yes				yes		yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Cazelles et al. 2016		yes	yes	yes	yes								implicit	stochastic	niche	species	none	
Cheung et al. 2009		yes	yes				yes			yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Cheung et al. 2011	yes	yes	yes		yes		yes			yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Chuine and Beaubien 2001	yes	yes						yes					implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Cobben et al. 2012	yes	yes	yes		yes		yes			yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes	
Colwell and Rangel 2010			yes			yes	yes	yes					explicit	stochastic	niche	species	none	
de Aguiar et al. 2009		yes	yes		yes								explicit	stochastic	neutral	individual	none	
Dislich et al. 2010	yes	yes	yes	yes					yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
dos Santos et al. 2011		yes	yes	yes					yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Fordham et al. 2013	yes	yes	yes				yes			yes	yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
García-Valdés et al. 2013	yes	yes	yes				yes			yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
García-Valdés et al. 2015	yes	yes	yes				yes			yes	yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Goldberg et al. 2011		yes	yes		yes	yes							explicit	stochastic	niche	species	none	
Gravel et al. 2011		yes	yes		yes								implicit	stochastic	niche	community	none	
Gutiérrez et al. 2016	yes	yes		yes									implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Harfoot et al. 2014	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes					explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Hartig et al. 2014		yes		yes		yes							implicit	stochastic	niche	Individual	none	
Hickler et al. 2012	yes	yes		yes			yes			yes			implicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Higgins and Cain 2002		yes	yes	yes			yes		yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	

Model	Processes										Drivers				Properties			
	Ecological			Evolutionary			Natural		Human		Spatially Explicit/	Stochastic/	Neutral /	Focus Ecological	Intraspecific			
	Physio	Demo	Disp	Non-Trophic	Micro	Macro	Spat	Time	Disturb	Geo						CC	Direct	Implicit
Higgins et al. 2012	yes												implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Higgins and Scheiter 2012	yes	yes		yes				yes	yes		yes		implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Hortal et al. 2009		yes	yes	yes				yes					implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Jabot 2010		yes	yes	yes				yes					implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Kearney 2012	yes							yes					implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Kearney et al. 2008	yes		yes					yes			yes		implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Kearney et al. 2009	yes		yes		yes			yes			yes		implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Keith et al. 2008		yes	yes					yes	yes		yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Krug et al. 2010		yes	yes					yes	yes		yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Kubisch et al. 2011		yes	yes		yes			yes	yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes	
Kubisch et al. 2013		yes	yes	yes	yes			yes	yes		yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes	
Kubisch et al. 2014		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes	
Kuparinen et al. 2007		yes	yes					yes	yes		yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Kuparinen et al. 2010	yes	yes	yes		yes			yes			yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes	
Kuparinen et al. 2012		yes	yes		yes								implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes	
Lawson et al. 2010		yes	yes					yes	yes		yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Lin et al. 2012	yes	yes		yes									explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
Lin et al. 2013	yes	yes		yes									explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none	
May et al. 2015		yes	yes	yes		yes							explicit	stochastic	neutral	individual	none	
Midgley et al. 2010		yes	yes	yes				yes	yes		yes	yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Mokany et al. 2012		yes	yes					yes	yes		yes	yes	explicit	stochastic	neutral	community	none	

Model	Processes						Drivers				Properties						
	Ecological			Evolutionary			Natural		Human		Spatially Explicit/	Stochastic/	Neutral /	Focus Ecological	Intraspecific		
	Physio	Demo	Disp	Non-Trophic	Micro	Macro	Spat	Time	Disturb	Geo						CC	Direct
Morin et al. 2008	yes	yes	yes				yes	yes			yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Münkemüller et al. 2012		yes	yes	yes			yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Münkemüller and Gallien 2015		yes		yes		yes					yes		implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Pagel and Schurr 2012	yes	yes	yes				yes	yes			yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none
Pigot et al. 2010		yes	yes			yes							explicit	stochastic	neutral	species	none
Pigot and Etienne 2015		yes	yes	yes		yes							implicit	stochastic	neutral	community	none
Rangel and Diniz-Filho 2005a		yes	yes	yes					yes				explicit	stochastic	neutral	community	none
Rangel and Diniz-Filho 2005b			yes			yes	yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	species	none
Rangel et al. 2007			yes			yes	yes	yes					explicit	stochastic	niche	species	none
Regan et al. 2012		yes	yes				yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none
Reu et al. 2011	yes												implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Rosindell and Cornell 2007		yes	yes	yes		yes							explicit	stochastic	neutral	individual	none
Rosindell and Harmon 2013		yes	yes	yes									implicit	stochastic	neutral	individual	none
Sarmiento Cabral et al. 2013		yes	yes				yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none
Scheiter and Higgins 2009	yes	yes		yes				yes	yes	yes	yes		implicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Schiffers et al. 2013		yes	yes			yes	yes				yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes
Smith and Lundholm 2010		yes	yes	yes			yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Smolik et al. 2010			yes				yes				yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none
Sukumaran et al. 2015		yes	yes		yes	yes	yes				yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	species	none
Swab et al. 2015		yes	yes				yes				yes		explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none
Tello and Stevens 2012		yes	yes			yes							explicit	stochastic	neutral	species	none

Model	Processes						Drivers				Properties						
	Ecological			Evolutionary			Natural		Human		Spatially Explicit/	Stochastic/	Neutral /	Focus Ecological	Intraspecific		
	Physio	Demo	Disp	Non-Trophic	Micro	Macro	Spat	Time	Disturb	Geo						CC	Direct
Travis 2003	yes	yes					yes	yes		yes	yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Travis and Dytham 2002	yes	yes				yes						yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	yes
Travis et al. 2005	yes	yes	yes				yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Travis et al. 2006	yes	yes	yes				yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Travis et al. 2009	yes	yes			yes						yes	explicit	stochastic	niche	population	none	
Triantis et al. 2015	yes	yes	yes			yes							implicit	deterministic	neutral	community	none
Valente et al. 2014	yes	yes	yes			yes				yes			implicit	stochastic	neutral	community	none
Valente et al. 2015	yes	yes	yes			yes							implicit	stochastic	neutral	community	none
Zinck et al. 2010	yes								yes				explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	none
Zurell et al. 2012	yes	yes					yes	yes		yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	individual	yes
DEB	yes	yes											implicit	deterministic	niche	individual	none
ETIB	yes	yes	yes			yes							explicit	stochastic	neutral	community	none
GDM	yes	yes	yes			yes	yes			yes			explicit	stochastic	niche	community	none
Metapopulation	yes	yes					yes	yes	yes				implicit	stochastic	neutral	population	none
MTE	yes	yes			yes	yes	yes						implicit	deterministic	niche	individual	none
Niche	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes						explicit	stochastic	niche	species	none
UNTB	yes	yes	yes			yes							implicit	stochastic	neutral	individual	none

## References

- Anderson, B. J. et al. 2009. Dynamics of range margins for metapopulations under climate change. - *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 276: 1415–1420.
- Bell, G. 2001. Neutral macroecology. - *Science* 293: 2413–2418.
- Bell, G. 2005. The co-distribution of species in relation to the neutral theory of community ecology. - *Ecology* 86: 1757–1770.
- Best, A. S. et al. 2007. Which species will successfully track climate change? The influence of intraspecific competition and density dependent dispersal on range shifting dynamics. - *Oikos* 116: 1531–1539.
- Binzer, A. et al. 2016. Interactive effects of warming, eutrophication and size structure: impacts on biodiversity and food-web structure. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 22: 220–227.
- Bocedi, G. et al. 2014. RangeShifter: A platform for modelling spatial eco-evolutionary dynamics and species' responses to environmental changes. - *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5: 388–396.
- Boeye, J. et al. 2014. Habitat structure mediates spatial segregation and therefore coexistence. - *Landsc. Ecol.* 29: 593–604.
- Bohn, K. et al. 2011. The relative importance of seed competition, resource competition and perturbations on community structure. - *Biogeosciences* 8: 1107–1120.
- Borregaard, M. K. et al. 2015. The general dynamic model: towards a unified theory of island biogeography? - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*: DOI: 10.1111/geb.12348.
- Botta-Dukát, Z. and Czúcz, B. 2016. Testing the ability of functional diversity indices to detect trait convergence and divergence using



- individual-based simulation. - *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 7: 114–126.
- Bourne, E. C. et al. 2014. Between migration load and evolutionary rescue: dispersal, adaptation and the response of spatially structured populations to environmental change. - *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 281: 20132795.
- Brooker, R. W. et al. 2007. Modelling species' range shifts in a changing climate: the impacts of biotic interactions, dispersal distance and the rate of climate change. - *J. Theor. Biol.* 245: 59–65.
- Buckley, L. B. 2008. Linking traits to energetics and population dynamics to predict lizard ranges in changing environments. - *Am. Nat.* 171: E1–E19.
- Buckley, L. B. et al. 2015. Thermoregulatory behaviour limits local adaptation of thermal niches and confers sensitivity to climate change. - *Funct. Ecol.* 29: 1038–1047.
- Cabral, J. S. and Schurr, F. M. 2010. Estimating demographic models for the range dynamics of plant species. - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 19: 85–97.
- Cabral, J. S. and Kreft, H. 2012. Linking ecological niche, community ecology and biogeography: Insights from a mechanistic niche model. - *J. Biogeogr.* 39: 2212–2224.
- Cabral, J. S. et al. 2011. Effects of harvesting flowers from shrubs on the persistence and abundance of wild shrub populations at multiple spatial extents. - *Conserv. Biol.* 25: 73–84.
- Cazelles, K. et al. 2016. On the integration of biotic interaction and environmental constraints at the biogeographical scale. - *Ecography* (Cop.): DOI: 10.1111/ecog.01714.

- Cheung, W. W. L. et al. 2009. Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. - *Fish Fish.* 10: 235–251.
- Cheung, W. W. L. et al. 2011. Integrating ecophysiology and plankton dynamics into projected maximum fisheries catch potential under climate change in the Northeast Atlantic. - *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 68: 1008–1018.
- Chuine, I. and Beaubien, E. 2001. Phenology is a major determinant of tree species range. - *Ecol. Lett.*: 500–510.
- Cobben, M. M. P. et al. 2012. Wrong place, wrong time: climate change-induced range shift across fragmented habitat causes maladaptation and declined population size in a modelled bird species. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18: 2419–2428.
- Colwell, R. K. and Rangel, T. F. 2010. A stochastic, evolutionary model for range shifts and richness on tropical elevational gradients under Quaternary glacial cycles. - *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 365: 3695–3707.
- de Aguiar, M. a M. et al. 2009. Global patterns of speciation and diversity. - *Nature* 460: 384–387.
- Dislich, C. et al. 2010. What enables coexistence in plant communities? Weak versus strong species traits and the role of local processes. - *Ecol. Modell.* 221: 2227–2236.
- dos Santos, F. A. S. et al. 2011. Neutral communities may lead to decreasing diversity-disturbance relationships: insights from a generic simulation model. - *Ecol. Lett.* 14: 653–660.
- Fordham, D. A. et al. 2013. Population dynamics can be more important than physiological limits for determining range shifts under climate change. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19: 3224–3237.
- García-Valdés, R. et al. 2013. Chasing a moving target: projecting climate change-induced shifts in non-equilibrial tree species distributions. - *J. Ecol.* 101: 441–453.

- García-Valdés, R. et al. 2015. Evaluating the combined effects of climate and land-use change on tree species distributions. - *J. Appl. Ecol.* 52: 902–912.
- Goldberg, E. E. et al. 2011. Phylogenetic inference of reciprocal effects between geographic range evolution and diversification. - *Syst. Biol.* 60: 451–465.
- Gravel, D. et al. 2011. Trophic theory of island biogeography. - *Ecol. Lett.* 14: 1010–1016.
- Gutiérrez, A. G. et al. 2016. Using a dynamic forest model to predict tree species distributions. - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 25: 347–358.
- Harfoot, M. B. J. et al. 2014. Emergent global patterns of ecosystem structure and function from a mechanistic general ecosystem model. - *PLoS Biol.* 12: e1001841.
- Hartig, F. et al. 2014. On the sympatric evolution and evolutionary stability of coexistence by relative nonlinearity of competition. - *PLoS One* 9: e94454.
- Hickler, T. et al. 2012. Projecting the future distribution of European potential natural vegetation zones with a generalized, tree species-based dynamic vegetation model. - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 21: 50–63.
- Higgins, S. I. and Cain, M. L. 2002. Spatially realistic plant metapopulation models and the colonization-competition trade-off. - *J. Ecol.* 90: 616–626.
- Higgins, S. I. and Scheiter, S. 2012. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> forces abrupt vegetation shifts locally, but not globally. - *Nature* 488: 209–212.
- Higgins, S. I. et al. 2012. A physiological analogy of the niche for projecting the potential distribution of plants. - *J. Biogeogr.* 39: 2132–2145.

- Hortal, J. et al. 2009. Island species richness increases with habitat diversity. - *Am. Nat.* 174: E205–17.
- Jabot, F. 2010. A stochastic dispersal-limited trait-based model of community dynamics. - *J. Theor. Biol.* 262: 650–661.
- Kearney, M. 2012. Metabolic theory, life history and the distribution of a terrestrial ectotherm. - *Funct. Ecol.* 26: 167–179.
- Kearney, M. et al. 2008. Modelling species distributions without using species distributions: the cane toad in Australia under current and future climates. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 31: 423–434.
- Kearney, M. et al. 2009. Integrating biophysical models and evolutionary theory to predict climatic impacts on species' ranges: the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* in Australia. - *Funct. Ecol.* 23: 528–538.
- Keith, D. A. et al. 2008. Predicting extinction risks under climate change: coupling stochastic population models with dynamic bioclimatic habitat models. - *Biol. Lett.* 4: 560–563.
- Krug, C. B. et al. 2010. Keeping the Cape lowland archipelago afloat. - In: Hoffman, M. T., Schmiedel, U., Jürgens, N. (ed), *Biodiversity in southern Africa. Volume 3: Implications for landuse and management*. Klaus Hess Publishers, Göttingen & Windhoek, pp. 151–179.
- Kubisch, A. et al. 2011. Density-dependent dispersal and the formation of range borders. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 34: 1002–1008.
- Kubisch, A. et al. 2013. Predicting range shifts under global change: the balance between local adaptation and dispersal. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 36: 873–882.
- Kubisch, A. et al. 2014. Where am I and why? Synthesizing range biology and the eco-evolutionary dynamics of dispersal. - *Oikos* 123: 5–22.

- Kuparinen, A. et al. 2007. Air-mediated pollen flow from genetically modified. - *Ecol. Appl.* 17: 431–440.
- Kuparinen, A. et al. 2010. Increased mortality can promote evolutionary adaptation of forest trees to climate change. - *For. Ecol. Manage.* 259: 1003–1008.
- Kuparinen, A. et al. 2012. Evolutionary and ecological feedbacks of the survival cost of reproduction. - *Evol. Appl.* 5: 245–255.
- Lawson, D. M. et al. 2010. Cumulative effects of land use, altered fire regime and climate change on persistence of *Ceanothus verrucosus*, a rare, fire-dependent plant species. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16: 2518–2529.
- Lin, Y. et al. 2012. Differences between symmetric and asymmetric facilitation matter: Exploring the interplay between modes of positive and negative plant interactions. - *J. Ecol.* 100: 1482–1491.
- Lin, Y. et al. 2013. Plant interactions alter the predictions of metabolic scaling theory. - *PLoS One* 8: e57612.
- May, F. et al. 2015. Moving beyond abundance distributions: neutral theory and spatial patterns in a tropical forest. 282: 20141657.
- Midgley, G. F. et al. 2010. BioMove - an integrated platform simulating the dynamic response of species to environmental change. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 33: 612–616.
- Mokany, K. et al. 2012. Dynamic macroecology and the future for biodiversity. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18: 3149–3159.
- Morin, X. et al. 2008. Tree species range shifts at a continental scale: new predictive insights from a process-based model. - *J. Ecol.* 96: 784–794.
- Münkemüller, T. and Gallien, L. 2015. VirtualCom: a simulation model for eco-evolutionary community assembly and invasion. - *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 6: 735–743.

- Münkemüller, T. et al. 2012. From diversity indices to community assembly processes: a test with simulated data. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 35: 468–480.
- Pagel, J. and Schurr, F. M. 2012. Forecasting species ranges by statistical estimation of ecological niches and spatial population dynamics. - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 21: 293–304.
- Pigot, A. L. and Etienne, R. S. 2015. A new dynamic null model for phylogenetic community structure. - *Ecol. Lett.* 18: 153–163.
- Pigot, A. L. et al. 2010. The shape and temporal dynamics of phylogenetic trees arising from geographic speciation. - *Syst. Biol.* 59: 660–673.
- Rangel, T. F. L. V. B. and Diniz-Filho, J. A. F. 2005a. Neutral community dynamics, the mid-domain effect and spatial patterns in species richness. - *Ecol. Lett.* 8: 783–790.
- Rangel, T. F. L. V. D. and Diniz-Filho, J. A. F. 2005b. An evolutionary tolerance model explaining spatial patterns in species richness under environmental gradients and geometric constraints. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 28: 253–263.
- Rangel, T. F. L. V. B. et al. 2007. Species richness and evolutionary niche dynamics : a spatial pattern – oriented simulation experiment. - *Methods* 170: 602–616.
- Regan, H. M. et al. 2012. Evaluation of assisted colonization strategies under global change for a rare, fire-dependent plant. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18: 936–947.
- Reu, B. et al. 2011. The role of plant functional trade-offs for biodiversity changes and biome shifts under scenarios of global climatic change. - *Biogeosciences* 8: 1255–1266.

- Rosindell, J. and Cornell, S. J. 2007. Species-area relationships from a spatially explicit neutral model in an infinite landscape. - *Ecol. Lett.* 10: 586–595.
- Rosindell, J. and Harmon, L. J. 2013. A unified model of species immigration, extinction and abundance on islands. - *J. Biogeogr.* 40: 1107–1118.
- Sarmento Cabral, J. et al. 2013. Impacts of past habitat loss and future climate change on the range dynamics of South African Proteaceae. - *Divers. Distrib.* 19: 363–376.
- Scheiter, S. and Higgins, S. I. 2009. Impacts of climate change on the vegetation of Africa: An adaptive dynamic vegetation modelling approach. - *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 15: 2224–2246.
- Schiffers, K. et al. 2013. Limited evolutionary rescue of locally adapted populations facing climate change. - *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 368: 20120083.
- Smith, T. W. and Lundholm, J. T. 2010. Variation partitioning as a tool to distinguish between niche and neutral processes. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 33: 648–655.
- Smolik, M. G. et al. 2010. Integrating species distribution models and interacting particle systems to predict the spread of an invasive alien plant. - *J. Biogeogr.* 37: 411–422.
- Sukumaran, J. et al. 2015. Machine learning biogeographic processes from biotic patterns: a new trait-dependent dispersal and diversification model with model-choice by simulation-trained discriminant analysis. - *Syst. Biol.*: syv121.
- Swab, R. M. et al. 2015. The role of demography, intra-species variation, and species distribution models in species' projections under

- climate change. - *Ecography (Cop.)*. 38: 221–230.
- Tello, J. S. and Stevens, R. D. 2012. Can stochastic geographical evolution re-create macroecological richness-environment correlations? - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 21: 212–223.
- Travis, J. M. J. 2003. Climate change and habitat destruction: a deadly anthropogenic cocktail. - *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 270: 467–73.
- Travis, J. M. J. and Dytham, C. 2002. Dispersal evolution during invasions. - *Evol. Ecol. Res.* 4: 1119–1129.
- Travis, J. M. J. et al. 2005. The interplay of positive and negative species interactions across an environmental gradient: insights from an individual-based simulation model. - *Biol. Lett.* 1: 5–8.
- Travis, J. M. J. et al. 2006. The distribution of positive and negative species interactions across environmental gradients on a dual-lattice model. - *J. Theor. Biol.* 241: 896–902.
- Travis, J. M. J. et al. 2009. Accelerating invasion rates result from the evolution of density-dependent dispersal. - *J. Theor. Biol.* 259: 151–158.
- Triantis, K. A. et al. 2015. Diversity regulation at macro-scales: species richness on oceanic archipelagos. - *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 24: 594–605.
- Valente, L. M. et al. 2014. The effects of island ontogeny on species diversity and phylogeny. - *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 281: 20133227.
- Valente, L. M. et al. 2015. Equilibrium and non-equilibrium dynamics simultaneously operate in the Galápagos islands. - *Ecol. Lett.* 18: 844–852.
- Zinck, R. D. et al. 2010. Wildfire, landscape diversity and the Drossel-Schwabl model. - *Ecol. Modell.* 221: 98–105.



Zurell, D. et al. 2012. Predicting to new environments: tools for visualizing model behaviour and impacts on mapped distributions. -  
Divers. Distrib. 18: 628–634.